29. December 2022 - 15. January 2023



Date: Wednesday, 4th January 2023

STEWARDS DECISION N° 5

Time: 12.50 hrs
Document No: 2.7

From:

Subject:

The Stewards

To:

The Competitor RED BULL CAN-AM FACTORY TEAM of car No. 400

Crew ROKAS BACIUSKA / ORIOL VIDAL

Number of pages: 4

Attachments: 0

PROTEST lodged by

The Competitor of car No. 400 RED BULL CAN-AM FACTORY TEAM (Crew ROKAS BACIUSKA / ORIOL VIDAL)

Against

The classification established at the end of the Stage No 1.

Procedure:

- On Monday 02nd January 2023, the Clerk of the Course received at 20:30 hrs a protest lodged by the Competitor of car No. 400 addressed to the Chairperson of the Stewards of RALLY DAKAR 2023.
- 2. Competitor No. 400 stated that it has been penalized 15 minutes for a breach of the Regulations for a missed way point (WPs; WP68).
- Competitor No. 400 claimed in its protest that secondary GPS validated the above-mentioned way point.
- 4. Competitor No. 400 noted the understanding of Art. 12.2.1 and 12.2.2 of the 2023 FIA CCR SR.
- 5. Competitor No.400 requested the Stewards to "...consider the secondary GPS when the primary GPS is proven to have given a false signal...".
- The Stewards summoned the protester, the Clerk of the Course and the representative of the GPS device supplier (ERTF):

On behalf of Competitor No. 400:

Rokas BACIUSKA

Driver

Oriol VIDAL

Co-Driver

Anne Marie GERANDI

Team Representative

On behalf of ERTF:

Cesar Rodrigues

The hearing was held on Tuesday 03rd January 2023 at 21:30 hrs in the Stewards' Room with all Stewards present.

- No objections were raised against the composition of the Stewards panel.
- At the hearing, the Protester submitted some pictures of both GPS devices. After this, none of the
 parties submitted further evidence nor initiated the hearing of additional persons or asked to
 conduct further investigations.

29. December 2022 - 15. January 2023



On the Admissibility:

- 9. The Stewards examined the admissibility of the protest:
 - 9.1 The protest was lodged in due time, in accordance with Art. 13.3.7 of the 2023 FIA International Sporting Code and Art. 60.1.2 of the 2023 FIA CCRSR.
 - 9.2 The protest was lodged by a single competitor against the Classification established at the end of the Stage 1, as stipulated in the 2023 FIA International Sporting Code Art. 13.1.1.
 - 9.3 The subject of the protest was against the Classification established at the end of the Stage 1 (2023 FIA International Sporting Code Art. 13.2.1, Art. 60.1.2 of the 2023 FIA CCRSR).

(Since the Protester did not mention this in the protest text itself, the Stewards asked it at the beginning of the hearing. Although the Protester, in response to a question from the Stewards, indicated that this is a protest against a Handicap, the Stewards understand from the content of the protest that, nevertheless, this protest was lodged against the Classification established at the end of the Stage 1 (including the applied time penalty of 15 min to Competitor No 400)).

In the opinion of the Stewards, in this case, the content of the protest should determine whether the protest is admissible.

9.4 The protest was properly accompanied by the protest deposit of € 1000, as stipulated in Art. 13.4.2 of the 2023 FIA International Sporting Code, Art. 60.2.1 of the 2023 FIA CCRSR and in Art. 14.2 of the event Supplementary Regulations.

The Stewards find that the protest is admissible and that the requirements of the Code have been complied with.

Hearing of the Parties:

- 10. The Stewards asked the Protester to explain the reasons of his protest.
- 11. The protester explained that in their opinion, the primary device did not work properly, sent the wrong/incorrect/false signals. They believe that the primary device did not work properly. Following from Art. 12.2 of the 2023 FIA CCRSR, they note that should there be an issue as seen from supplier, ERTF, with the primary accuracy, then the fact the secondary GPS validated the WP must be accepted, as written in the final sentence of article 12.2.1., If the primary NV-GPS is inoperative, the second NAV-GPS automatically becomes the primary one. As already stated in the content of the protest, they use two devices that are installed in the car in the same position, and also the antennas. The protester added that the day after the co-driver compared the information displayed by the 2 devices (GPS coordinates), showing a difference of approximately 10 meters. Therefore, the protester consider that the primary device did not have the proper precision. For sporting fairness, the evidence that this signal was incorrect must be taken into consideration. In response to a question from the Stewards as to whether they can provide evidence to show that the signal was incorrect (false), they consider that such evidence is available and can be provided by representatives of the ERTF.
- 12. The ERTF representative explained that there is no such evidence in the system that the protester is talking about. He checked the data and sees that there are no such reports in the system that the primary device was inoperative. And if this (what the protester says) was true, i.e. if there were any signs of an inoperative or sending a false signal, the system would immediately report it. There is no such data in the system confirming the presence of the primary device in an inoperative state

29. December 2022 - 15. January 2023



or the sending of false signals. When overcoming the track correctly, there should be no misunderstanding about the validation of WP. Both devices provide the same information. When it's too far away from WP (beyond radius), WP may not be validated. Due to the possible different operation of the devices, it is possible that even when the crew is too far from WP, the second device validates WP.

The ERTF representative also added that the distance between the positions of both antennas should be of minimum 1 meter (whereas the Protester and crew members explained that they had not complied with this requirement when installing antennas). Otherwise, if the antennas are too close together, a bad signal can be generated.

- 13. Since all concerned parties had finished their explanations, the Stewards had no further questions, the parties were given the opportunity to ask questions to each other. The Clerk of the Course, according to his experience with standard GPS devices, added a comment about the accuracy, i.e., when you are stopped for 15 minutes, the GPS will show the information as if you were moving all the time, and several meters.
- 14. Both the Protester and the ERTF representative reiterated what had already been said before.
- 15. There were no more questions for each other.
- 16. There were also no additional requests.

After the hearing was closed by the Chairperson, the Stewards took the following decision after due deliberation.

Decision:

- 17. The protest is admissible.
- 18. The protest is rejected.
- 19. The protest fee will not be returned to Competitor No 400.

Reasons

- 20. The stewards decide that in this case it is important to note that Art. 12.2.1 of the 2023 FIA CCRSR clearly establishes in which case the second device automatically becomes the primary one. It can only be legal if the primary device is inoperative.
- 21. As can be clearly seen from the explanation given by the representative of the ERTF, there is no such data in the system that the primary device is inoperative. And there is not even data that the primary device sends the wrong signals. Therefore, there is no legal basis for declaring the Protester's claim to be founded.
- 22. The Protester, both in the text of the protest and in his explanations at the hearing, emphasizes the presence of both devices in close proximity to each other, but this does not in any way change the legal assessment of the situation.
- 23. When assessing the situation from a legal point of view, it is important not how close the two devices were to each other, but whether the crew correctly overcame the track.
- 24. The regulations do not provide for any preferences for those crews who use not one device, but two devices. Such exceptions would not be fair to other crews. This would not be in line with the sporting principles of competition. That would not be fair in terms of sports competition.

29. December 2022 - 15. January 2023



- 25. In the light of the explanations given by the parties at the hearing and the requirements of the regulations (Art. 12.2.1 of the 2023 FIA CCRSR, Art. 12.2.2 of the 2023 FIA CCRSR), the Stewards decide that there is no legal basis for declaring the Protester's claim to be founded.
- 26. The Art. 12.2.2 of the 2023 FIA CCRSR provides that only data from the primary NAV-GPS will be taken into account when applying penalties for missed waypoints and speeding violations.
- 27. The ERTF representative explained that when overcoming the track correctly, there should be no misunderstanding about the validation of WP. Both devices provide the same information. When it's too far away from WP (beyond radius), WP may not be validated. Due to the possible different operation of the devices, it is possible that even when the crew is too far from WP, the second device validates WP.
- 28. The fact that WP is validated by a second device does not change the legal assessment. Because the second device, based on the Art. 12.2.1 of the 2023 FIA CCRSR can become the primary only in the case provided for by in the said article.
- 29. Any premise that allows to theoretically reason what could be, if any, has no legal power. A contrary interpretation would negate the requirement of said regulations and fair competition between the crews. The regulations must apply equally to all crews. No one is given illegal preferences.

Competitors are reminded that they have the right to appeal certain decisions of the Stewards, in accordance with Article 15 of the 2023 FIA International Sporting Code and Chapter 4 of the FIA Judicial and Disciplinary Rules.

Arnas PALIUKENAS

FIA Chairperson of the Stewards

Patrick SUBERVILLE FIA Steward

Hassan AL ABDALI ASN Steward

Received:

Competitor:

RED BULL CAN-AM FACTORY TEAM

ROKAS BACIUSKA / ORIOL VIDAL

Vehicle No: 400

Crew:

, 1

Date: 4/0/

Time: 15447

Signature;

Published on the Digital Notice Board on 04 03 2023 at 16 . 16 hrs.